ESP-IJACT
ESP International Journal of Advancements in Computational Technology
ISSN: 2583-8628 / Volume 1 Issue 1 June 2023 / Page No: 160-163
Paper Id: IJACT-V1I1P121 / Doi: 10.56472/25838628/IJACT-V1I1P121

Original Article

Optimizing Supply Chain Performance through Unit Economics: A Strategic Perspective

Maurya Modi

Received Date: 9 January 2023 Revised Date: 26 May 2023 Accepted Date: 20 June 2023

Abstract : *Unit economics provides a critical lens through which the financial viability of individual components within a supply chain can be examined. This paper explores the concept of unit economics in the context of modern supply chains, emphasizing how granular cost and revenue analysis at the unit level can guide strategic decisions, improve profitability, and enhance operational resilience. Drawing on a synthesis of literature and illustrative case data, the study demonstrates the application of unit economics in diverse supply chain configurations and proposes a framework for integrating unit economics into broader supply chain management practices. The paper concludes with insights into future research directions by leveraging automation and AI, as well as managerial implications.*

Keywords: *Unit Economics, Supply Chain Management, Cost Analysis, Unit Margin, Activity-Based Costing, Financial Performance, Supply Chain Finance, Financial Analysis, Financial Modeling, Operational Efficiency, Strategic Sourcing, Data Analytics, SCM Framework, Automation, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Big Data, Internet of Things (IoT).*

I. INTRODUCTION

Global supply chains are increasingly complex, dynamic, and data-rich environments. Traditional performance metrics often fail to capture the intricacies of costs and revenues at a granular level. In contrast, **unit economics**—the direct revenues and costs associated with a single unit of product or service—offer actionable insights for supply chain optimization.

Unit economics enables decision-makers to look beyond aggregate financials and understand the true profitability of specific products, customers, or distribution channels. This level of visibility is particularly critical in an era where customization, rapid delivery, and omnichannel fulfillment have introduced significant cost variability across the supply chain.

Understanding unit economics is essential for businesses aiming to scale sustainably, identify cost bottlenecks, optimize pricing strategies, and align operational capabilities with strategic goals. The objective of this paper is to examine how unit economics can be leveraged to enhance supply chain performance. The analysis includes an exploration of the components of unit economics, their integration into supply chain decision-making, and their role in aligning operational activities with financial outcomes.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Unit economics has traditionally been a focal point in startup and SaaS company valuation, especially in metrics such as customer acquisition cost (CAC) and lifetime value (LTV). However, its application within supply chain management (SCM) remains underdeveloped.

Chopra and Meindl (2019) emphasize the importance of cost visibility in supply chain design, suggesting that accurate cost allocation at the unit level can significantly impact decisions related to sourcing, inventory, and distribution. Their work underscores the importance of cost-to-serve analyses in understanding customer profitability [1].

Christopher (2016) and Simchi-Levi et al. (2020) discuss the total cost of ownership (TCO), a concept that aggregates all costs associated with procuring and maintaining a product or service. While TCO offers a comprehensive view, it often lacks the granularity required to support tactical decisions in fast-moving supply chains [2] [3].

Kaplan and Cooper (1998) introduced Activity-Based Costing (ABC), which laid the foundation for more detailed cost attribution. ABC enables organizations to understand how resources are consumed at a more detailed level, aligning closely with unit economic principles [4].

Waller and Fawcett (2013) discuss the role of big data analytics in SCM and the potential for such data to enhance decision-making. Data-driven approaches, when integrated with economic modeling, can significantly improve operational performance [5].

Recent developments in digital supply chains, as outlined by Ivanov and Dolgui (2021), highlight the growing potential of big data, AI, and IoT in supporting granular cost and revenue analysis. These technologies enable real-time visibility and facilitate more accurate unit-level tracking [6].

Despite these advances, a significant gap remains in the integration of unit economics into strategic SCM frameworks. Many companies struggle with data silos, inconsistent cost allocation methods, and lack of cross-functional alignment, limiting the effectiveness of unit economic analysis. Addressing these challenges requires a structured framework and practical methodologies for implementation.

III. FINANCIAL CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING UNIT ECONOMICS

While the strategic value of unit economics is increasingly recognized, several financial challenges impede its effective implementation within supply chain contexts:

A. Complex Cost Attribution

A major hurdle in applying unit economics is the complexity of cost attribution. Many indirect costs—such as shared transportation, utilities, or labor—are difficult to allocate precisely to individual units. This often results in arbitrary cost distribution that distorts profitability assessments (Kaplan & Anderson, 2007) [7].

B. Disparate Financial Systems and Data Silos

Most enterprises operate on fragmented IT infrastructures where operational, procurement, and finance systems do not communicate seamlessly. The resulting data silos hinder real-time visibility and make it difficult to gather comprehensive unit-level cost and revenue information (Deloitte, 2022) [8].

C. Lack of Standardized Metrics

There is no industry-wide standard for calculating unit economics. Organizations often develop bespoke models tailored to specific products or services, which complicates benchmarking and performance comparisons (McKinsey & Company, 2021) [9].

D. ROI on Costing Systems

Implementing advanced costing methodologies such as Activity-Based Costing or Time-Driven ABC can be resource-intensive. Many companies hesitate to invest without a clear and immediate return on investment (Kaplan & Cooper, 1998) [4].

E. Variability in Demand and Input Prices

Volatile markets introduce unpredictability in input costs and demand levels, affecting the stability of unit margins. This makes it challenging to rely on historical unit economics for future decision-making, especially in global supply chains exposed to geopolitical and currency risks (Ivanov, 2020) [10].

Overcoming these challenges necessitates a combination of technological investment, cross-functional collaboration, and a shift in managerial mindset toward continuous monitoring and refinement of cost structures.

IV. UNIT ECONOMICS IN SUPPLY CHAINS: COMPONENTS AND ANALYSIS

Unit economics typically involve two primary components:

- Unit Revenue (UR): Revenue generated per unit sold.
- Unit Cost (UC): Cost incurred to produce, distribute, and deliver each unit.

In a supply chain context, UC is often disaggregated into:

- COGS (Cost of Goods Sold): Direct production costs.
- Logistics Costs: Inbound and outbound shipping, warehousing.
- Overhead Allocation: Pro-rata share of operational expenses.
- Customer Acquisition/Service Cost: Particularly relevant in omnichannel retail.

The key metric:

$$\text{Unit Margin (UM)} = UR - UC$$

This simple model can be scaled to include multiple SKUs, locations, and customer segments, enabling decision-makers to optimize operations based on granular cost-performance indicators.

A positive UM across supply chain nodes is crucial for sustainability. Consider the following example:

Component	Cost per Unit (USD)
Raw materials	5.00
Manufacturing	3.00
Transportation	1.50
Warehousing	0.80
Overhead allocation	1.20
Total UC	11.50
UR	15.00
UM	3.50

V. DISCUSSION: STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

A. Cost Transparency and Control

By analyzing cost per unit across the supply chain, organizations can identify inefficiencies. For instance, if transportation costs disproportionately affect certain SKUs or regions, rerouting or regional warehousing may be justified.

B. Pricing and Profitability

Unit economics directly inform pricing decisions. Products with slim margins may need reengineering, price adjustments, or promotional support to remain viable. Conversely, high-margin items could be prioritized for marketing and fulfillment.

C. Investment Decisions

Capital allocation decisions such as automation investments, warehouse expansion, or new product launches benefit from unit economic modeling. Understanding the expected UM helps ensure ROI thresholds are met.

D. Scalability and Risk

In high-growth environments, unit economics helps avoid the “scale without profit” trap. They also help model risk exposure by simulating how changes in input costs or demand affect UM and overall supply chain health.

VI. FRAMEWORK FOR INTEGRATING UNIT ECONOMICS INTO SCM

We propose a five-step framework:

- Data Collection: Consolidate cost and revenue data at SKU and channel levels.
- Cost Attribution: Break down costs by function (e.g., production, logistics).
- Unit Analysis: Calculate UM for key products, channels, and geographies.
- Scenario Planning: Model impact of changes in demand, input prices, or logistics.
- Decision Integration: Embed insights into S&OP, procurement, and pricing strategies.

This framework ensures that unit economics is not a one-off exercise but an ongoing component of SCM strategy.

VII. CONCLUSION

Unit economics provides a powerful lens for dissecting and optimizing supply chain operations. By analyzing revenues and costs at the unit level, companies gain sharper financial visibility and a stronger foundation for strategic decision-making. Future research should explore automation of unit economics modeling using AI and the role of unit economics in circular supply chains.

VIII. REFERENCES

[1] Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2019). *Supply Chain Management: Strategy, Planning, and Operation* (7th ed.). Pearson.

[2] Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & Supply Chain Management* (5th ed.). Pearson UK.

[3] Simchi-Levi, D., Kaminsky, P., & Simchi-Levi, E. (2020). *Designing and Managing the Supply Chain: Concepts, Strategies, and Case Studies* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

[4] Kaplan, R. S., & Cooper, R. (1998). *Cost & Effect: Using Integrated Cost Systems to Drive Profitability and Performance*. Harvard Business Review Press.

[5] Waller, M. A., & Fawcett, S. E. (2013). Data science, predictive analytics, and big data: a revolution that will transform supply chain design and management. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 34(2), 77–84.

[6] Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2021). A digital supply chain twin for managing the disruption risks and resilience in the era of Industry 4.0. *Production Planning & Control*, 32(9), 775–788.

[7] Kaplan, R. S., & Anderson, S. R. (2007). *Time-Driven Activity-Based Costing: A Simpler and More Powerful Path to Higher Profits*. Harvard Business Press.

[8] Deloitte. (2022). *Digital transformation in supply chain finance*. Retrieved from https://www2.deloitte.com/

[9] McKinsey & Company. (2021). *The next normal in construction: How disruption is reshaping the world's largest ecosystem*. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com/

[10] Ivanov, D. (2020). Viable supply chain model: integrating agility, resilience and sustainability perspectives—lessons from and thinking beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. *Annals of Operations Research*, 1-21.